

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/521,924	01/21/2005	Mikio Totani		6152	
759	90 06/16/2006		EXAMINER		
Hodgson Russ Andrews			DESAI, HEMANT		
Woods & Goody	year				
Intellectual Property Practice Group			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1800 One M & 7	Γ Plaza		3721		
Buffalo, NY 14203			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2000	DATE MAILED: 06/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/521,924	TOTANI, MIKIO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Hemant M. Desai	3721	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the n earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roll. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  apply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	21 January 2005.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ 3	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo			
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. <sup>°</sup> 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,8,11,12 and 14 is/are pen	ding in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,8,11,12 and 14</u> are subject	t to restriction and/or election	requirement.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b)☐ objected to I	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum			
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bu  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received	
See the attached detailed Office action for a	ist of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE</li> </ul>		)/Mail Date  Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	<u>_</u> .	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-2, 4-5, 8, drawn to an apparatus for successively making plastic bags.

Group II, claim(s) 11-12 and 14, drawn to an apparatus for successively making plastic bags.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Group I has special technical feature of second guide means by which the web means of panel material is guided to be folded back along the longitudinal folded back line when being fed and after being folded so that the folded portion can be formed in the web means of panel material and folded into halves.

Group II has special technical feature of third guide means by which the web means of panel material is guided to be folded along the second folded line when being fed and after being folded back so that the folded portion can be formed in the web means of panel material and folded into halves.

3. A telephone call was made to Martin Linihan on 6/6/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hemant M. Desai whose telephone number is (571) 272-4458. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM-5:00 PM, Mon-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hemant M. Hes

Hemant M Desai

Examiner Art Unit 3721

**HMD**